

The Hills LEP 2012 - Cecil Avenue and Roger Avenue, Castle Hill				
Proposal Title :	The Hills LEP 2012 - Cecil Aven	ue and Roger Avenue, Cast	te Hill	
Proposal Summary ;	This planning proposal seeks to facilitate development of 460 residential apartments and 8,000m2 of commercial floor space by rezoning land at 93-107 Cecil Avenue and 9-10 Roger Avenue, Castle Hill, from part R1 General Residential and part R3 Medium Density Residential to B4 Mixed Use, provide for a bonus floor space ratio of 3.5:1, and remove the maximum height of buildings control.			
PP Number :	PP_2016_THILL_008_00	Dop File No :	16/07184	
Proposal Details				
Date Planning Proposal Received :	25-Oct-2016	LGA covered :	The Hills Shire	
Region :	Metro(Parra)	RPA :	The Hills Shire Council	
State Electorate :	CASTLE HILL	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal	
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning			
Location Details	t:		-	
Street :				
Suburb :	City :		Postcode :	
	hteen properties at 93-107 Cecil A tails please refer to Council's Plan		nue, Castle Hill (For full property	
	cer Contact Details	my ropedi rage ,		
Contact Name :	Chris Browne			
Contact Number :	0298601508			
Contact Email :	chris.browne@planning.nsw.gov	.au		
RPA Contact Deta	ils			
Contact Name :	Megan Munari		3.	
Contact Number :	0298430407			
Contact Email :	mmunari@thehills.nsw.gov.au			
DoP Project Mana	ger Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Adrian Hohenzollern			
Contact Number :	0298601505			
Contact Email :	adrian.hohenzollern@planning.n	sw.gov.au		
Land Release Data				
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :		
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro North West subregion	Consistent with Strategy	Yes	

MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	460
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	211
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No		
If Yes, comment :	The Department' Lobbyist Cont no records on the Department's	-	ted on 26 May 2016, and there are regarding this matter.
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	The initial Planning Proposal, a change from part R1 General R	-	
	Mixed Use, in order to accomm business premises and café/res	odate future residential flat	
	Mixed Use, in order to accomm	odate future residential flat staurants on the site.	
	Mixed Use, in order to accomm business premises and café/res	odate future residential flat staurants on the site. seeks to:	buildings, office premises,
	Mixed Use, in order to accomm business premises and café/res The proponent's proposal also - increase the maximum permis	odate future residential flat staurants on the site. seeks to: ssible building height from p ssible floor space ratio (FSR erall maximum of 3:1, (whic	buildings, office premises, art 16 metres and part ) limit from part 1:1
	Mixed Use, in order to accomm business premises and café/res The proponent's proposal also - increase the maximum permis 9 metres to 47 metres; and - increase the maximum permis and part undesignated to an ov	odate future residential flat staurants on the site. seeks to: sible building height from p sible floor space ratio (FSR rerall maximum of 3:1, (which or space). nent concept provided by the bstantial overshadowing on consider that overshadowing outcome. Council advise th ibition, additional design de	buildings, office premises, art 16 metres and part ) limit from part 1:1 h accounts for both e proponent includes indicative neighbouring low density of neighbouring properties to at as part of the Gateway tails will be required to
External Supporting Notes :	Mixed Use, in order to accomm business premises and café/res The proponent's proposal also - increase the maximum permis 9 metres to 47 metres; and - increase the maximum permis and part undesignated to an ov residential and commercial floor Council note that the developm shadow diagrams that show su residential dwellings. Council of this extent is not an acceptable process and prior to public extended	odate future residential flat staurants on the site. seeks to: sible building height from p sible floor space ratio (FSR) rerall maximum of 3:1, (which or space). nent concept provided by the bostantial overshadowing on consider that overshadowing overshadowing control that overshadowing overshadowing construction and that overshadowing constant ove	art 16 metres and part art 16 metres and part ) limit from part 1:1 h accounts for both e proponent includes indicative neighbouring low density of neighbouring properties to at as part of the Gateway tails will be required to ring properties are acceptable. e Hill, is currently zoned part R1 al under the provisions of The il seeks to rezone the site to B4 centivised' floor space ratio of

## Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment :

The objective of the planning proposal is to facilitate a mixed use development on the site, comprising 460 residential units, 8,000m2 of commercial floor space and a through site link and public open space. The development will expand the Castle Hill centre and provide increased housing in proximity to a railway station.

# Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

Council propose that the intended outcomes will be achieved by:

- Rezoning the site to B4 Mixed Use;
- Removing the maximum height of buildings;
- Applying a 'base' floor space ratio of 1:1; and
- Applying an Incentivised' floor space ratio of 3.5:1.

## Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

\* May need the Director General's agreement

- **1.1 Business and Industrial Zones**
- 2.3 Heritage Conservation
- 3.1 Residential Zones
- 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
- 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
- 6.3 Site Specific Provisions

Is the Director General's agreement required? Unknown

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified? SEPP No 65—Design Quality of Residential Flat Development

Direction 5.9 - North West Rail Link Corridor Strategy

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain :

Consistency of the planning proposal with s117 Ministerial Directions is addressed within Attachment B of the Council's planning proposal.

Further discussion on the consistency of the proposal with relevant Directions is provided below.

#### **DIRECTION 2.1 HERITAGE CONSERVATION**

The objective of this direction is to conserve items, areas, objects and places of environmental heritage significance and indigenous heritage significance. A planning proposal must contain provisions that facilitate the conservation of: (a) items, places, buildings, works, relics, moveable objects or precincts of environmental heritage significance to an area, in relation to the historical, scientific, cultural, social, archaeological, architectural, natural or aesthetic value of the item, area, object or place, identified in a study of the environmental heritage of the area, (b) Aboriginal objects or Aboriginal places that are protected under the National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974, and (c) Aboriginal areas, Aboriginal objects, Aboriginal places or landscapes identified by

an Aboriginal heritage survey prepared by or on behalf of an Aboriginal Land Council, Aboriginal body or public authority and provided to the relevant planning authority, which identifies the area, object,place or landscape as being of heritage significance to

#### Aboriginal culture and people.

Council note that the site is located adjacent to a heritage listed cemetery, which is significant as it contains the graves of several key early land owners in the Hills Shire. It is acknowledged that the planning proposal has not demonstrated consistency with this Direction. However, Council has advised that given the nature of the heritage items, it is considered unlikely that the proposal would have a negative impact on their significance. Council have further recommended that completion of a heritage impact statement be required prior to public exhibition, to demonstrate that the heritage significance of these items is protected in accordance with Direction 5.3. Council has requested the concurrence of the Secretary with respect to the inconsistency with this Direction.

Whilst the planning proposal in its present form is inconsistent with Direction 2.1 Heritage Conservation, the inconsistency is considered to be of minor significance, as provided under Clause (6)(d) of the Direction.

#### DIRECTION 5.9 NORTH WEST RAIL LINK CORRIDOR STRATEGY

Direction 5.9 specifies that a planning proposal that applies to land located within the North West Rail Link (NWRL) Corridor must:

(b) be consistent with the proposals of the NWRL Corridor Strategy, including the growth projections and proposed future character for each of the NWRL precincts; and
(c) promote the principles of transit-oriented development (TOD) of the NWRL Corridor Strategy.

The NWRL strategy identifies suitable locations for high density residential development of between 7 to 20 storeys surrounding the Castle Hill commercial/retail core, which will benefit from direct access to the bus and rail transport interchange. Medium density living comprising of 3-6 storey apartments is intended to be located in the residential areas on the periphery of the core. Beyond this, townhouses, duplexes and single-detached dwellings are intended to provide a diversity of housing around an attractive and accessible Town Centre.

The subject site is identified as "Medium Density Residential Density" on the Structure Plan included at page 17 of the NWRL Corridor Strategy. The intent of the proposal is to allow development up to seventeen (17) storeys in height within the "Medium Density Residential" precinct identified in the NWRL Strategy as intended to accommodate 3-6 storey development. The proposal is therefore inconsistent with this aspect of the strategy, and therefore inconsistent with s117 Direction 5.9.

Council consider that given the strategic location of the site and the public benefit associated with the proposed through site link, the increased density beyond what was envisioned in the Castle Hill Structure Plan is appropriate, as it provides a transition in density to the commercial core. While noting that modifications to the bulk and the scape of buildings on the periphery of the site are likely to require some modification, Council has requested the concurrence of the Secretary with respect to the inconsistency with this Direction.

It is considered that the inconsistency of the planning proposal with Direction 5.9 North West Rail Link Corridor Strategy may potentially be justified on the basis that the inconsistency is of minor significance, as provided under Clause 5(d) of the Direction. However, further detailed urban design analysis is required to confirm that the floor space ratio(s) and height controls fit appropriately with the Norwest Rail Link Corridor Strategy, particularly with regard to building height and bulk. This is of specific relevance to the current proposal, due to the potential for unacceptable overshadowing impacts on existing low density residential development located immediately to the south of the subject site.

It is recommended that a condition be included in the Gateway Determination that Council is to address inconsistency with Direction S117 5.9.

#### **DIRECTION 6.3 SITE SPECIFIC PROVISIONS**

The objective of this Direction is to discourage unnecessarily restrictive site specific planning controls.

The Planning Proposal also includes identification of the subject site on the Key Sites Map, and the introduction of a local incentives clause providing that the proposed density, height and floor space ratio is subject to compliance with Council's apartment size/mix and car parking controls. Council propose that an additional local provision to be inserted into The Hills Local Environmental Plan 2012 ("7.10 Residential Development Yield") which would apply to the site.

#### 7.10 Residential Development Yield

(1) The objectives of this clause are as follows:

- (a) To ensure that residential flat building development does not over-tax existing and planned services, facilities and infrastructure;
- (b) To provide opportunities for a suitable density housing form that is compatible with existing development and the future character of the surrounding area;
- (c) To ensure the provision of a mix of apartment sizes, providing housing choice for different demographics, living needs and household budgets; and
- (d) To promote development that suits larger household sizes and family household structures which are expected for The Hills Shire into the future.
- (2) This clause applies to land identified as "Area J" on the Key Sites Map.
- (3) Residential development on whole of the land specified in Column 1 of the Table is not to exceed the criteria and standards specified in Column 2.
- or
- (4) Residential development on the whole of the land specified in Column 1 of the Table may be less or equal to that specified in Column 3, where the development complies with all criteria and standards specified in Column 4.

The stated intent of this proposed additional LEP Clause 7.10 is to limit dwelling yield and building height unless the development complies with Council's controls relating to apartment mix, apartment size and car parking.

It is understood that planning proposals for development within North West Rail Link station precincts are to be required to demonstrate consistency with SEPP 65 to the extent that they meet State Government dwelling yield projections (contained in the North West Rail Link Corridor Strategy, the strategic work for the Sydney Metro Northwest Priority Urban Renewal Corridor and/or the relevant District Plan).

Whilst the planning proposal is inconsistent with this Direction, , the inconsistency is considered to be of minor significance in light of the methodology discussed below.

STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANING POLICY NO 65 Design Quality of Residential Apartment Development.

The Department has recognised that the North-West Rail Corridor will be subject to significant change and growth. Extensive strategic planning has been undertaken by both the Council and the Department to assist in identifying suitable dwelling yields and mix of apartment sizes. The Department and Council have worked collaboratively to identify an appropriate methodology that will ensure dwelling yields are achieved

within the North-West Rail Corridor whilst providing a framework for Council to achieve its objectives in relation to dwelling mix in an environment that will be subject to significant change.

The methodology includes the identification of a base FSR and bonus FSR for each site based on walkable catchment from the train station. The provisions of SEPP 65 will apply to the base FSR. Where the bonus FSR is utilised 40% of 2 and 3 bedroom apartments will have a minimum floor area of 110 and 135 square metres respectively. The Gateway determination has been conditioned to require amendment of the planning proposal to comply with the agreed methodology.

#### Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

#### Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

Council have indicated that the planning proposal will be advertised in local newspapers and on display at Council's administration building and Castle Hill Library. The planning proposal will also be made available on Council's website. In addition, letters will be issued to adjoining and nearby property owners and stakeholders.

#### **Additional Director General's requirements**

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

#### **Overall adequacy of the proposal**

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

### Proposal Assessment

#### Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in relation The Hills LEP 2012 is a Standard Instrument LEP. to Principal LEP :

#### **Assessment Criteria**

Need for planning proposal :

While the the planning proposal is not a result of any strategic study or report, the planning proposal is supported by justification against the relevant strategic plans, including the North West Rail Link Corridor Strategy and The Hills Future Commuity Strategic Plan.

The zone and development standards that currently apply to the site do not facilitate the desired outcome. The planning proposal is considered to be the best way to achieve the intended outcomes for the site.

Consistency with strategic planning framework :

#### A Plan for Growing Sydney 2014

A Plan for Growing Sydney is intended to guide land use planning decisions for the next 20 years and presents a strategy for accommodating Sydney's forecast population growth over this time. To achieve the Government's vision for Sydney as a "strong global City and a great place to live", the Plan sets out four (4) main goals, for Sydney to be:

- A competitive economy with world-class services and transport,

- A City of housing choice with homes that meet our needs and lifestyles,

- A great place to live with strong, healthy and well-connected communities, and

- A sustainable and resilient City that protects the natural environment and has a balanced approach to the use of land and resources.

The proposal is considered to specifically support the following Directions of "A Plan for Growing Sydney":

Direction 2.1: Improve housing supply across Sydney;

- Direction 2.2: Ensure more homes closer to jobs;
- Direction 2.3: Improve housing choice to suit different needs and lifestyles; and
- Direction 2.4: Deliver well planned new areas of housing.

The planning proposal seeks to facilitate the delivery of housing close to the Castle Hill Station Precinct, providing additional people in close proximity to jobs, transport and services. The additional yield generated by the proposal will assist in meeting the dwelling and job targets envisaged by the Plan.

Draft North West Subregional Strategy:

The planning proposal is consistent with the following Draft North West Subregional Strategy actions:

B2.1.1 Councils to consider planning for housing growth in centres, particularly those well serviced by public transport;

B3.3.2 Councils to undertake strategic planning to ensure land use plans make the most of new infrastructure, in particular for locations around new stations along the North West Rail Link; and

C2.1.3 North West Councils to ensure location of new dwellings improves the subregions performance against the target for State Plan Priority E5 'Jobs Closer to Home' which is to increase the proportion of people living within 30 minutes by public transport of a Strategic Centre. To improve performance of the North West Subregion the State requires North West councils to ensure that at least 80 per cent of new dwellings are located within 30 minutes by public transport of a Strategic Centre.

North West Rail Link Corridor Strategy

The State Government's North West Rail Link Corridor Strategy (2013) includes a vision for land surrounding the future Castle Hill Train Station.

The subject site falls within the boundaries of the Castle Hill Station Precinct and is identified as a "long term opportunity site". The Structure Plan envisages a partial medium density residential outcome for the site, which is not entirely consistent with the current proposal as noted above.

#### **Hills Corridor Strategy**

The Hills Corridor Strategy was adopted by Council on 24 November 2015 to build upon the platform established by the NSW Government North West Rail Link Corridor Strategy and articulate redevelopment opportunities arising from the Sydney Metro Northwest around each of the station precincts. Council advise that the Hills Corridor Strategy identifies opportunity for 4,807 additional dwellings and 10,304 additional jobs within the Castle Hill Precinct by 2036, and that the delivery of the employment floor space is critical to the success of Castle Hill.

Council note that the planning proposal includes 8,025m2 of commercial floor space, which falls short of the envisaged commercial floor space outcome. However, Council indicate acceptance that this level of commercial development as being of an appropriate intensity for this location, given there is somewhat of a disconnect from the core of the centre by the actual and perceived barrier created by the ring road. Council consider that the proposal is consistent with the guiding principles of The Hills Corridor Strategy. Council's conclusion in this regard is supported.

The Hills Draft Local Strategy

Council's Local Strategy was adopted in 2008, and includes the objectives of longer term planning projects of the State Government as well as responding to, and planning for, local needs such as employment, housing and transport.

Council note that the opportunity to provide a mixed development with some commercial floor space on the subject site is generally consistent with the "Residential Direction", "Centres Direction" and "Employment Lands Direction", as established under this Strategy.

Environmental social economic impacts :

**Environment and Biodiversity** 

No critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats, have been identified as being, or likely to be, adversely affected as a result of the proposal.

Impacts on Adjacent Properties - Overshadowing

The planning proposal envisages residential flat buildings of between three (3) and six (6) storeys facing the eastern, southern and western boundaries, with up to seventeen (17) storeys in the central component. Council consider that the building elements on the site boundaries have potential to create an unsympathetic interface and impact on the amenity of surrounding low density residential dwellings in terms of overshadowing and building dominance. Council have suggested that a more appropriate form of development along these boundaries would be of a maximum three (3) storeys in height, and would provide a suitable transition from the adjacent single storey dwellings on the south to the higher built form fronting Cecil Avenue.

The development concept provided by the applicant includes indicative shadow diagrams that show substantial overshadowing on neighbouring low density residential dwellings to the south and south west. The overshadowing of neighbouring properties to this extent is not considered an acceptable outcome. As part of the Gateway process and prior to public exhibition, Council note that additional design details will be required to demonstrate that the overshadowing impacts on neighbouring properties are acceptable. Council's recommendation that the built form be modified to reduce potential unacceptable overshadowing effects is supported. It is specifically be recommended that a Gateway condition be imposed requiring additional design details, which demonstrate that private open space within all impacted neighbouring properties will continue to receive at least four hours of sunlight between 9am and 3pm on 21 June, where this is currently the case.

#### Traffic

The proposal has the potential to increase traffic on local roads and increase on-street car parking in the vicinity. The traffic impact statement provided in support of the planning proposal concludes that the proposed development will comply with Council's car parking requirements and that a detailed traffic assessment will be carried out in conjunction with the development application.

Council note that the development concept, as submitted, will generate 290 trips in peak hour, with the cumulative impact of the proposed development in Cecil Avenue resulting in a traffic increase of up to 190% on Cecil Avenue. Council recommend that a traffic study be undertaken that addresses the peak hour directional splits, potential impacts on the nearby intersections and measures to address the elevated environmental capacity issues in Cecil Avenue. Councill's recommendation that a detailed traffic study be provided prior to public exhibition of the proposal is supported.

#### **Social and Economic Impacts**

Potential social and economic benefits of the proposal have been identified as including : • The creation of jobs during both the construction phase and ongoing operation of future development on the site;

• Public domain improvements, notably a through-site connection from Roger Avenue to Cecil Avenue, public domain space addressing Cecil Avenue,

The provision of needed housing stock in the locality, providing alternatives and supply for the locality which will contribute to increasing supply in close proximity to the station
Future development in accordance with the proposed concept will rejuvenate this part of Castle Hill by re-connecting the locality to the town centre with new housing.

It is considered that the proposal will generate positive net social and economic benefits.

## **Assessment Process**

Proposal type :	Minor	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months	Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	Office of Environment and H Integral Energy Transport for NSW Transport for NSW Transport for NSW - Sydney Transport for NSW - Roads a Sydney Water	Trains	
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required? No		
(2)(a) Should the matter	r proceed ? Yes		
If no, provide reasons :			
Resubmission - s56(2)(	b) : <b>No</b>		
If Yes, reasons :			
Identify any additional s	tudies, if required.		
Heritage Other - provide details If Other, provide reason			
A Heritage Studyis to I items.	be provided which addresses t	he potential impacts of the pro	posal on adjacent heritage
intersections, measure		e peak hour directional splits, pe ironmental capacity issues in C er Avenue.	
Additional shadow dia	grams to demonstrate the imp	act of the proposal.	
Identify any internal con	sultations, if required :		
No internal consultation	on required		
Is the provision and fun	ding of state infrastructure relev	ant to this plan? <b>No</b>	

If Yes, reasons :

Document File Name		DocumentType Name	Is Public
Council cover letter - Cecil Avenue and Roger Avenue.pdf Covering Letter and Planning Proposal Including Attachments A and B_1.pdf		<b>Proposal Covering Letter</b>	Yes
		Proposal	Yes
nning Team Recomm	nendation		
Preparation of the planni	ng proposal supported at this stage :	Recommended with Conditions	
S.117 directions:	1.1 Business and Industrial Zone	38	
9	2.3 Heritage Conservation		
	3.1 Residential Zones		
	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Tra		
	6.1 Approval and Referral Requir 6.3 Site Specific Provisions	rements	
Additional Information:	1. Prior to community consulta	ation, the planning proposal is to be am	ended as follows:
	within all impacted neighbouring	provided which demonstrate that private g properties will continue to receive at le on 21 June, where this is currently the c	east four hours of
3		which addresses the peak hour direction ons, measures to address capacity issu	
	at Tab A. Please note that the pla	ed methodology for Local Residential D anning proposal should be amended to indicative only and may be subject chan	state that clause
		) proposal is to be forwarded to the Dep neement of community consultation.	artment for
	2. Community consultation is follows:	required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57	of the Act as
	(a) the planning proposal must 28 days; and	be made publicly available for a minime	um of
	(b) the relevant planning author	rity must comply with the notice require	ements
		proposals and the specifications for ma	
		g with planning proposals as identified i	
	'A Guide to Preparing Local Env Environment 2016).	ironmental Plans' (Department of Plann	ing and
	3. Council must consult with t	he following agencies:	
	Office of Environment and H	leritage	
	Transport for NSW		
	Transport for NSW - Roads	and Maritime Services	
	Integral Energy		
	<ul> <li>Sydney Water</li> </ul>		

The Hills LEP 2012 - Cecil Avenue and Roger Avenue, Castle Hill			
	4. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under Section 56(2)(e) of the Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).		
	5. The timeframe for completing the Plan is to be 12 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination		
Supporting Reasons :	The proposal is supported in principle as it will provide housing in proximity to existing and future public transport.		
	The proposal is considered particularly timely given that construction of the Sydney Metro Northwest is underway and precinct planning around the future railway stations has identified potential for an increased density of residential development on this site.		
Signature:			
Printed Name:	CVAN LAEREN Date: 31/10/16		